

Administrative self-correcting and decadential term: São Paulo state Law according to the Federal Suprem Court (ADI 6.019)

 rdai.com.br/index.php/rdai/article/view/bordalo19



Authors

Rodrigo Bordalo Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo (São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil)

Keywords:

Direct Action of Unconstitutionality 6,019, annulment of administrative acts, Statute of limitations of ten years, Interpretation of the Federal Supreme Court

Abstract

The article explores the decision of the Federal Supreme Court within the scope of ADI 6,019, concerning the law of the State of São Paulo, which established a ten-year period for the annulment of administrative acts by the Administration itself.

Author Biography

Rodrigo Bordalo, Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo (São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil)

Doutor e Mestre em Direito do Estado pela PUC-SP. Professor de pós-graduação da Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie, do Centro Preparatório Jurídico (CPJUR), do Damásio Educacional e da Escola Brasileira de Direito (EBRAD). Procurador do Município de São Paulo. Advogado.

 [0000-0001-6787-3146](#) | rodrigobordalo@hotmail.com

References

BORDALO, Rodrigo. Autotutela e prazo decadencial: a lei do estado de São Paulo sob o olhar do Supremo Tribunal Federal (ADI 6.019). Revista de Direito Administrativo e Infraestrutura, São Paulo, v. 5, n. 19, p. 373-377, out./dez. 2021.

Published

How to Cite

BORDALO, R. Administrative self-correcting and decadential term: São Paulo state Law according to the Federal Suprem Court (ADI 6.019): Administrative self-correcting and decadential term: São Paulo state Law according to the Federal Suprem Court (ADI 6.019). **Journal of Public Law and Infrastructure | RDAI**, São Paulo: Thomson Reuters - Livraria RT, v. 5, n. 19, p. 373–377, 2021. Disponível em: <https://rdai.com.br/index.php/rdai/article/view/bordalo19>. Acesso em: 7 feb. 2022.

Issue

Vol. 5 No. 19 (2021)

Section

Comments of Jurisprudence

License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](#).

This journal is licensed by

[\(CC BY-NC-ND\)](#)

Submission and publication of articles are free; peer-reviewed; the journal uses CrossCheck (anti-plagiarism); and complies with the COPE Editors' Guide; Committee on Publication Ethics, in addition to the Elsevier and SciELO recommendations. [Check the Rules for the submission and evaluation of the RDAI](#).

Most read articles by the same author(s)

- Rodrigo Bordalo, [Compensation to the Treasury and Court of Audit's Decision: Verdict issued by Brazilian Supreme Federal Court on the Extraordinary appeal 6, Journal of Public Law and Infrastructure | RDAI: Vol. 4 No. 15 \(2020\)](#)
- Rodrigo Bordalo, [THE protection of quilombolas and the Supreme Federal Court: judgment of ADI 3.239, Journal of Public Law and Infrastructure | RDAI: Vol. 2 No. 7 \(2018\)](#)
- Rodrigo Bordalo, [Brazilian Forest Code and the Supreme Federal Court: Judgment of /awsuits concerning Law 12,651/2012 \(ADC 42 and ADIs 4901, 4902, 4903 e 4937\), Journal of Public Law and Infrastructure | RDAI: Vol. 3 No. 11 \(2019\)](#)
- Rodrigo Bordalo, [Reimbursement to the treasury: comments on special appeal RE 852.475., Journal of Public Law and Infrastructure | RDAI: Vol. 3 No. 9 \(2019\)](#)

