Ethics of discussion and principles of public administration: the lying public administrator and administrative improbity

rdai.com.br/index.php/rdai/article/view/268

Authors

- Caroline Müller Bitencourt https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5911-8001
- Janriê Rodrigues Reck https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9162-8941

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.48143/rdai.17.cmb

Key words:

Fake News, Truth, Discussion Ethics, Good Faith, Public Administration, Administrative Misconduct

Summary

The theme of this work is the political practice of spreading fake news by political agents in contemporary Brazil and the possible application of the <u>law of administrative</u> <u>misconduct</u>

in force Administrative Misconduct Law

<u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> for violation of the principle of good faith of the Public Administration. Thus, it explores how it is possible to connect it with the principle of administrative good faith to support the administrator's duty to speak the truth. The problem that drives this work can be characterized as: it is possible to interpret the <u>Federal Constitution</u>

in forceConstitution of the Federative Republic of Brazil

<u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> and <u>the Administrative Improbability Act</u>

in force Administrative Misconduct Law

<u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> as guardians of an ethical duty to speak the truth arising from administrative good faith and how can discussion ethics contribute to this interpretation? The hypothesis is that yes, there is the incidence of the <u>law of administrative misconduct</u>

in force Administrative Misconduct Law

<u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> to liar public officer. To confirm the hypothesis, it will be necessary to carry out three objectives, namely, connecting the ethics of discussion with fake news; linking this construction to the principle of good faith of the Public

Administration and; finally, substantiate the application of the <u>law of administrative</u> misconduct

in force Administrative Misconduct Law

<u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> to the agent who violates the duty of good faith by spreading fake news. The method used will be the hypothetical deductive, since the hypothesis of applicability of the <u>law of administrative misconduct</u>

in force Administrative Misconduct Law <u>Link added by the vLex addon</u> will be argumentatively tested.

Author's biography

Caroline Müller Bitencourt

Doctor of Law (2012) with Post-Doctorate from PUC-PR (2019). Master in Law (2009). Specialist in Public Law (2007). Professor at the University of Santa Cruz do Sul.

Janriê Rodrigues Reck

Doctor at the University of Vale do Rio dos Sinos (2009). Master from the University of Santa Cruz do Sul (2006) and Graduated in Legal and Social Sciences from the University of Vale do Rio dos Sinos (2003). Professor of the Post-Graduate, Masters and Doctorate Program at the University of Santa Cruz do Sul.

References

APEL, Karl-Otto. Transformation of Philosophy I: analytical philosophy, semiotics, hermeneutics. São Paulo: Loyola, 2000.

CASTELLS, Manuel. The network society -The information age: economy, society and culture. Trans. Roneide Venancio Majer. 8. ed. rev. and wide São Paulo: Paz e Terra, 2005.

CRUVINEL, Diogo Mendonça. Fake news and the cost of information. In: RAIS, Diogo (Coord.). Fake News: the connection between disinformation and law. 2. ed. rev. and wide São Paulo: Thomson Reuters Brazil, 2020.

FERREIRA, Vivian Maria Pereira. The intent of administrative improbity: a rational search for the subjective element in violating the principles of Public Administration. GV Law Magazine, São Paulo, vol. 15, no. 3, 12.02.2019. Available at: [
http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=Sl80824322019000300211&Ing=pt&nrm=iso&tIng=pt#fn10]. Accessed on: 09.01.2020.

GIACOMUZZI, José Guilherme. Administrative Morality and the Good Faith of Public Administration: the dogmatic content of administrative morality. São Paulo: Malheiros Editores, 2002.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. The Ethics of Discussion and the Question of Truth. São Paulo: Martins Fontes, 2004.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. Communicative Acting and Detranscendentalized Reason. São Paulo: Tempo Brasileiro, 2002.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. Post-Metaphysical Thinking. Rio de Janeiro: Tempo Brasileiro, 1990.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. Theory of Communicative Action, I. Madrid: Taurus, 1999.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. Theory of Communicative Action: Complements and Previous Studies. Madrid: Chair, 1994.

HABERMAS, Jürgen. Truth and justification: philosophical essays. São Paulo.

HORNEY, K. Neurosis and human development. Rio de Janeiro: Brazilian Civilization, 1974.

KEHL, Maria Rita. Resentment. São Paulo: Boi.tempo, 2020.

LOYAL, Rogério Gesta. Democratic Deficits in Society Risks and (Dis)paths of Institutional Protagonisms in Brazil. São Paulo: Tiram la Blanch, 2020.

LOYAL, Rogério Gesta. Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure in the Risk Society: theoretical and pragmatic aspects (case studies). São Paulo: Tiram lo Blanch, 2020.

MENDONÇA, Suzana. Good faith in administrative activity. e-Pública, Lisbon, v. 5 n. 1, Jan., 2018. Available at: [http://www.scielo.mec.pt/scielo.php? script=sci arttext&pid=S2183-184X2018000100010] . Accessed on: 19.01.2020.

MORIN, Edgar. Method 5: the humanity of humanity. 5. ed. Porto Alegre: Sulina, 2012.

NASSA, Thulio Caminho to. Good faith in the legal regime of administrative law. Dissertation (Masters in Law)-Faculty of Law, Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo, São Paulo, 2010.

OLIVEIRA, Manfredo Araújo de. Linguistic-pragmatic turnaround in Contemporary Philosophy. São Paulo: Loyola, 2001.

PASE, Eduarda Simonetti. Conditions and possibilities for the accumulation of parliamentary sanctions in view of those established by the Administrative Impropriety Law and its treatment in the jurisprudence of the Brazilian judiciary. In: BITENCOURT, Caroline Muller; LOYAL, Rogério Gesta. Themes of the jurisdiction of the Rio Grande Do Sul Court of Justice: Controversial Matters of Corruption and Administrative Misconduct - Case Studies. Porto Alegre: Court of Justice of the State of Rio Grande do Sul, 2016.

RICHTER, Luiz Egon Richter; DRINKING, Augusto Carlos de Menezes. The culpable acts of administrative improbity in light of the fundamental right to Good Public Administration. In: BITENCOURT, Caroline Muller; LOYAL, Rogério Gesta. Themes of

jurisdiction of the Rio Grande Do Sul Court of Justice: Controversial

Corruption and Administrative Impropriety Matters - Case Studies. Porto Alegre: Court of Justice of the State of Rio Grande do Sul, 2016.

SILVA, Clóvis do Couto e. The principle of good faith in Brazilian and Portuguese law. São Paulo: Revista dos Tribunais, 1980.

THOMPSON, John B. Criticai Hermeneutics: a study in the thought of Paul Ricoeur andjürgen Habermas. Cambridge University Press: New York, 1990.

WITTGENSTEIN, Ludwig. The Blue Book. Lisbon: Editions 70, 1992.





Journal of Administrative Law, Infrastructure, Regulation and Compliance N. 5 • ISSUE 17 • April - June • 2021

AUGUSTO NEVES DAL POZZO E RICARDO MARCONDES MARTINS THOMSON REUTERS

REVISTA DOS TRIBUNAIS

Published

2021-07-01

how to quote

BITENCOURT, CM; RECK, JR Discussion ethics and principles of public administration: the lying public administrator and administrative improbity: Discussion ethics and principles of public administration: the lying public administrator and administrative improbity. **Journal of Administrative Law and Infrastructure - RDAI**, Thomson Reuters - RT, São Paulo, vol. 5, no. 17, p. 43-64, 2021. DOI: 10.48143/rdai.17.cmb. Available at: https://rdai.com.br/index.php/rdai/article/view/268. Accessed on: 11 Aug. 2021.

Edition

v. 5 n. 17 (2021)

Section

Administrative Law: Principles of Administrative Law

License

Copyright (c) 2021 Journal of Administrative Law and Infrastructure - RDAI

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License</u>.

This journal is licensed by (CC BY-NC-ND)

Submission and publication of articles is free; peer-reviewed; the journal uses CrossCheck (anti-plagiarism); and complies with the *COPE* Editors Guide *; Committee* on *Publication Ethics*, in addition to the Elsevier and SciELO recommendations. Check the RDAI Submission and Evaluation Rules.