



LINDB CHANGES AND NEW PERSPECTIVES OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION CONTROL

 rdai.com/ojs/index.php/rdai/article/view/234



- Ana Carolina Hohmann University of São Paulo Law School (São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil)  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8183-1783>
- Fernanda Coelho University of Vale do Itajaí (Itajaí, Santa Catarina, Paraná)  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9324-8907>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48143/RDAI.14.ach>

Palavras-chave: Introduction to Brazilian Law Law, Public Administration Control, Federal Law 13,655/18, Legal Consequentialism, Consensuality

The scope of this article is to study the changes brought by Federal Law 13,655/18 to the Law of Introduction to the Norms of Brazilian Law – LINDB, from the perspective of the control of the Public Administration. In this mainstay will be appreciated the new provisions added to the original legal act and their consequences with regard to the control of the activities of the Public Administration. Note that one of the most relevant changes concerns the necessary appreciation of the consequences of the decisions to be adopted by the control bodies, the perception of efficiency in administrative action, in addition to greater social participation and democratic bias. In this aegis, consensuality also acquires relevance. The analysis of the theme will start from a historical retrospective of the control of Public Administration in Brazilian Law, through the forms of control of Public Administration, to then analyze and discuss the new concepts inserted in LINDB in 2018.

Author's Biography

Ana Carolina Hohmann, University of São Paulo Law School (São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil)

PhD and MSc from the Faculty of Law of the University of São Paulo. Graduate in Civil Procedural Law from the Romeo Felipe Bacellar Law Institute. Bachelor of Laws from the Federal University of Paraná. Lawyer.

anahohmann@yahoo.com

Fernanda Coelho, University of Vale do Itajaí (Itajaí, Santa Catarina, Paraná)

Graduate in Business and Business Law from the University of Vale do Itajaí. Graduate in Administrative Law from the Romeo Felipe Bacellar Law Institute. Bachelor of Laws from the Federal University of Paraná. Lawyer. rabbit.fernanda@gmail.com

References

BINENBOJM, Gustavo. A theory of administrative law: fundamental rights, democracy and constitutionalization. 3. ed. rev. and current. Rio de Janeiro: Renovar, 2014.

FERRAZ, Luciano. Control and consensus: foundations for consensual control of Public Administration (TAG, TAC, SUSPAD, Leniency Agreements, Substitute Agreements and related instruments). Belo Horizonte: Fórum, 2019.

MARQUES NETO, Floriano de Azevedo. The bipolarity of administrative law and its overcoming. In: SUNDFELD, Carlos Ari; JURKSAITIS, Guilherme Jardim. Public procurement and administrative law. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2015.

MARQUES NETO, Floriano de Azevedo. State regulation and public interests. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2002.

MARQUES NETO, Floriano de Azevedo; PALMA, Juliana Bonacorsi de. The seven impasses of control of Public Administration in Brazil. In: Public Administration Control. Belo Horizonte: Fórum, 2017.

MARQUES NETO, Floriano de Azevedo; FREITAS, Rafael Vêras de. Comments on Law No. 13,655/2018 (Innovation Security Law). Belo Horizonte: Fórum, 2019.

MEDAUAR, Odete. Evolving administrative law. 3. ed. Brasília: Gazeta Legal, 2017.

MEIRELLES, Hely Lopes. Brazilian administrative law. 20. ed. São Paulo: Malheiros, 1995.

MODESTO, Paulo (Coord.). New Brazilian administrative organization: studies on the proposal of the commission of experts constituted by the federal government for reform of the Brazilian administrative organization. Belo Horizonte: Forum/Brazilian Institute of Public Law – IBDP, 2009.

MONTEIRO, Vera. Art. 29 of the LINDB: Legal regime of public consultation. *Revista de Direito Administrativo*, Special Edition: Public Law in the Law of Introduction to the Rules of Brazilian Law – LINDB (Law No. 13,655/2018), Rio de Janeiro, p. 225-242, Nov. 2018.

MOREIRA NETO, Diogo de Figueiredo. Administrative law course: introductory part, general part and special part. 15. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Forensics, 2009.

MOREIRA NETO, Diogo de Figueiredo. Administrative law of the 21st century: an instrument for achieving substantive democracy. *A&C – Revista de Direito Administrativo & Constitucional*, Belo Horizonte, year 11, n. 45, Jul./Sep. 2011. Available at: [bid.editoraforum.com/bid/PDI0006.aspx?pdiCntd=74838]. Accessed: 29.08.2019.

OLIVEIRA, Gustavo Justino de; SCHWANKA, Cristina. Consensual Administration as the new face of Public Administration in the century. XXI: Dogmatic foundations, forms of expression and instruments of action. *Revista da Faculdade de Direito da Universidade de São Paulo*, v. 104, p. 303-322, Jan./Dec. 2009.

PALMA, Juliana Bonacorsi de. Sanction and agreement in the Public Administration. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2015.

PEREZ, Marcos Augusto. The world Hely didn't see: democratic governance and fragmentation of administrative law. Dialogues between Hely's systemic theory and the current paradigms of administrative law. In: WALDS, Arnold; JUSTEN FILHO, Marçal; GUIMARAES PEREIRA, Cesar Augusto (Org.). *Administrative law today*. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2017.

RIVERO, Jean. *Administrative law*. Coimbra: Almedina, 1981.

SOUZA, Rodrigo Pagani de. For a public results administration. In: PEREZ, Marcos Augusto; SOUZA, Rodrigo Pagani de (Org.). *Control of public administration*. Belo Horizonte: Fórum, 2016. p. 39-61.

SUNDFELD, Carlos Ari. *Administrative law ordering*. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2003.

SUNDFELD, Carlos Ari. Administrative law for skeptics. 2. ed. São Paulo: Malheiros, 2014.



PDF

Published

2020-09-30

How to Quote

1.

Hohmann A, Rabbit F. LINDB CHANGES AND THE NEW PERSPECTIVES OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION CONTROL. RDAI [Internet]. 30Sep.2020 [cited 8Jan.2021];4(14):305 -340. Available from: <https://rdai.com/ojs/index.php/rdai/article/view/234>

v. 4 n. 14 (2020)

This journal is licensed by
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0
International.

Attribution-Share-Equal 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND-SA 4.0)

The submission and publication of articles are free of charge; peer-reviewed;
the journal uses CrossCheck (antiplagiarism);
and complies with the *COPE - Committee on Publication Ethics* Editors'
Guide, in addition to the Elsevier and SciELO recommendations.
Check out the Rules for submission and evaluation of the GDR.